

Radiological protection of the environment – training course
CEH Lancaster 1st-3rd April 2014

FEEDBACK QUESTIONNAIRE

For each question below, please circle the answer which most accurately reflects your view.

Template as completed by 18 attendees – **RED scores** below are the average across all attendees. All recorded comments are also presented below.

Content

1. How clear were the objectives of the course?	Unclear 1 2 3 4 5 Very clear 4.5
2. How well structured was the course? <i>(Was the introduction clear, did it progress logically)</i>	Poorly 1 2 3 4 5 Well 4.6
3. How relevant was the course content?	Irrelevant 1 2 3 4 5 Relevant 4.7
4. How did you find the amount of material covered?	Too much 1 2 3 4 5 To little 2.9
5. How difficult did you find the material covered?	Difficult 1 2 3 4 5 Easy 3.2
6. How interesting did you find the material covered?	Not interesting 1 2 3 4 5 Interesting 4.6

Practical

7. How did you find the practical exercises?	Not interesting 1 2 3 4 5 Interesting 4.6
8. Did the exercises help you understand the material presented in the course?	No 1 2 3 4 5 Yes 4.7
9. Were you given sufficient guidance to enable you to carry out the exercises?	No 1 2 3 4 5 Yes 4.6
10. Was the balance between presentations and practical exercises correct?	No 1 2 3 4 5 Yes 4.4

Facilities

11. How suitable were the computing facilities?	Not suitable 1 2 3 4 5 Suitable 4.6
12. How suitable was the lecture room?	Not suitable 1 2 3 4 5 Suitable 4.4
13. Were meals provided acceptable?	No 1 2 3 4 5 Yes 4.6

Summary

14. Did the course fulfil your expectations? <i>If not, please state why in the box below</i>	No 1 2 3 4 5 Yes 4.7
--	-----------------------------

If you would like to make any additional comments, please use the box below.
Leave your name if you require any feedback.

Radiological protection of the environment – training course
CEH Lancaster 1st-3rd April 2014

Additional comments from course participants:

See next sheet

Additional comments from course participants:

- 1) No comments
- 2) No comments
- 3) Thank you very much for effort of all lecturers and Brenda. Is there any angel, who will be willing to answer my questions which occur later, when I start with ERICA practical using? Is there any database of addresses of ERICA users, for example from the Czech Rep? Thank you so much.
- 4) Very well done, I believe it has given me a good base of knowledge to begin using ERICA programme.
- 5) Pre-course info more useful after the course. Very detailed with limited prior knowledge. Enjoyed course. Thanks.
- 6) Really useful course to help clarify what you need to consider and more importantly ‘why’, you need to consider the different ‘aspects’ prior to starting an assessment on impact of discharges on biota. Liked the approach of going through the model at the start of the course alongside the lectures/presentation, helped put ERICA into context (advantage over last course I did). Also good only imputing few radionuclides rather than big long list. Course does help you really think about how you demonstrate and justify the decisions you make when carrying out assessment.
- 7) No comments
- 8) No comments
- 9) No comments
- 10) As a relative newcomer to radiological protection, the course was challenging, but very useful and interesting. I hope to attend others which may be offered. Thank you to all involved.
- 11) No comments
- 12) No comments
- 13) The course was overall good and many topics were covered. Perhaps the order of the lectures and practical’s could be bit easier to follow if they had a different order.
- 14) A glossary of acronyms would be useful addition to the course notes and a crib sheet (definition of terms) of all equations e.g. EMCL. Lots of copying of on-screen numbers down on to practical sheets during practical exercises.
- 15) No comments
- 16) No comments
- 17) No comments
- 18) Couldn’t see small screen at front during practical’s. The lecture room was cold.

Thank you